

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/635,232   | 08/09/2000              | Shiri Kadambi        | 108339-00021            | 4991             |  |
| 32294 75   | 32294 7590 03/07/2005   |                      |                         | EXAMINER         |  |
| SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.<br>14TH FLOOR<br>8000 TOWERS CRESCENT |                         |                      | HARPER, KEVIN C         |                  |  |
|  |                         |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| TYSONS COR   | TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182 |                      |                         |                  |  |
|  |                         |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | , <b>M</b>  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
| Office Astion Comments  | 09/635,232  | KADAMBI ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | Kevin C. Harper   | 2666  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine  - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t<br>ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi<br>e, cause the application to become ABANDON               | imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 S   | September 2004.   |   |  |  |  |
|   | _ ` ` ` _ `   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 23,24,31 and 32 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20,21,25-29 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 22 and 30 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | wn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 September 2004</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine  | fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objeted drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is o  | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | ts have been received.<br>ts have been received in Applica<br>prity documents have been receiv<br>nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | tion No<br>ved in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) T (-4,   | (DTO 442)   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4)  | Date  |  |  |  |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   | Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed September 7, 2004, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new grounds of rejection is made in view of Cotton et al., Sherer et al., and Chou et al.

## **Drawings**

Replacement drawings were received on September 7, 2004. These drawings are approved.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 20-21 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cotton et al. (US 5,237,571) in view of Sherer et al. (US 5,568,476).

1. Regarding claims 20 and 26, Cotton discloses a method of sending packets between trunked network switches (fig. 1, items 20 and fig. 3C). The method comprises receiving a packet from a source at a first port of a first trunked network switch (col. 5, lines 2-4), identifying the first switch having ports (col. 4, lines 43-50), where an adjustable number of ports are bundled as a trunk group (col. 18, lines 13-16), identifying that the packet is received from the source at an ingress unit and is destined for a destination which must be accessed through the trunk group to a second trunked network switch (col. 11, lines 25-29), identifying an appropriate trunk port of the trunk group to sent the packet to the destination by an identifying unit (col. 18, lines 43-45) and forwarding the packet to the destination on the appropriate trunk port by a forwarding/sending unit (col. 24, lines 28-30). However, Cotton does not disclose identifying that a packet is destined for a destination

Application/Control Number: 09/635,232 Page 3

Art Unit: 2666

that must be accessed through a trunk group by checking a trunk bit. Sherer discloses a link table having a bit that indicates that several ports are to be used to transmit a packet to a destination (col. 9, lines 19-25, 31-34 and 51-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to check a trunk bit which indicates that a packet is to be transmitted over multiple links in the invention of Cotton in order to facilitate and coordinate a multiple port transmission among various internal components (Sherer, fig. 5, col. 10, lines 54-56).

- 2. Regarding claims 21, 27 and 29, Cotton discloses that trunk ports are identified through a lookup table based on the destination address (col. 11, lines 22-29).
- 3. Regarding claims 28, in Cotton, the lookup table comprises rules tag information (col. 18, line 38, "L"; col. 19, lines 12-17).

Claims 25 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cotton et al. (US 5,237,571) in view of Sherer et al. (US 5,568,476) and Chou et al. (US 5,386,414).

4. Regarding claims 25 and 33, the limitations have been addressed in rejecting claims 20 and 26 above, with the exception of modifying a trunk group table to reflect trunk port failures. Cotton in view of Sherer does not disclose modifying a trunk group table to reflect trunk port failures. Chou discloses modifying a trunk group table to omit failed trunks (col. 3, lines 32-46; col. 5, lines 21-27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to change a trunk group table in the invention of Cotton in view of Sherer in order to accurately transmit data to a destination.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 23-24 and 31-32 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/635,232 Page 4

Art Unit: 2666

6. Claims 22 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can

normally be reached weekdays from 11:30 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is

703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only (applications must be associated with a

customer number). For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

March 2, 2004

Seemas. Ras SEEMAS. RAS 3/4/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600